

REMARKS

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action dated November 28, 2003, and the telephonic examiner interview with the Examiner and Supervisory Examiner Mark Thompson on April 23, 2004. Applicants extend their appreciation for the opportunity provided by the examiners to discuss this pending patent application. The amended claims presented for further examination herein include suggested changes and reflect certain comments provided during the interview.

The Examiner rejected previously presented claims 1-13 in the Office Action. Applicants hereby cancel claim 3, and further amend claims 1-2, 4, 6-10 and 12-13. Claims 1-2 and 4-13 are therefore pending. Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

Rejections Under 35 USC §102

Claims 1, 4, 5, 7 and 9 stand rejected under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by Lauer et al. (USPN 6,118,936 B1).

As discussed during the interview with the examiners, Lauer is not particularly relevant to the invention as claimed herein. The claims as presented now more clearly describe and point out the features of the claimed invention, which are neither disclosed or suggested in Lauer.

Reconsideration of this rejection in view of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

Rejections Under 35 USC§103

Claims 2, 3, 6, 8 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lauer in view of Schwartz et al. (USPN 6,473,609 B1).

The cited Lauer and Schwartz references neither disclose nor suggest the invention as presently claimed. For example, as described in independent claims 1, 9 and 12, an engine module is not disclosed or suggested in Lauer or Schwartz that is accessible to the Internet to receive the user-defined configuration information from a terminal, wherein the engine module retrieves web-based content from one or more web sites using the user-defined configuration information based on selected criteria by a user for each particular web site. Moreover, these references also fail to describe or suggest an engine module that further converts the web-based content based into a selected wireless language for signaling to an end device.

Because these independent claims and the corresponding claims dependent thereon are believed to be allowable over the cited references of record thus far, allowance is respectfully requested for all pending claims. In the event other references are identified through additional searches by the Examiner in a subsequent non-final Office action, Applicants will appreciate a fair opportunity to fully review and consider those materials also as discussed during the examiner interview.

Application Serial No. 09/513,554
Amendment Date: April 27, 2004
Office Action of November 28, 2003

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the present application is in form for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner have any questions, please contact the undersigned attorney.


The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees, which may be required, including petition fees and extension of time fees, to Deposit Account No. 23-2415 (Docket No. 24286-702).

Respectfully submitted,

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

Date: _____

4/27/04



U.P. Peter Eng, Reg. No. 39,666

650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304
(650) 320-4847
Customer No. 021971